

**MORRIS, NICHOLS, ARSHT & TUNNELL LLP**

1201 NORTH MARKET STREET  
P.O. BOX 1347  
WILMINGTON, DELAWARE 19899-1347

—  
(302) 658-9200  
(302) 658-3989 FAX

**ANTHONY D. RAUCCI**

(302) 351-9392  
[araucci@morrisnichols.com](mailto:araucci@morrisnichols.com)

October 7, 2024

The Honorable Richard G. Andrews  
United States District Court  
for the District of Delaware  
844 North King Street  
Wilmington, DE 19801

*VIA ELECTRONIC FILING*

Re: *Exelixis, Inc. v. MSN Laboratories Private Limited, et al.*  
C.A. No. 22-228 (RGA) (Consolidated)

Dear Judge Andrews:

Plaintiff Exelixis, Inc. (“Exelixis”) writes regarding Defendants MSN Laboratories Private Limited and MSN Pharmaceuticals, Inc.’s (collectively, “MSN”) Request for Judicial Notice, filed today. D.I. 184.

Exelixis was surprised to see MSN’s request for judicial notice concerning “bulk density” and “tapped density,” which MSN filed without prior notice to Exelixis. Indeed, in response to the Court’s October 3, 2024 order (D.I. 182), the parties collaborated on and filed a joint submission concerning “tapped density.” D.I. 183. During the parties’ discussions to prepare that joint submission, MSN raised the possibility of referencing certain documents outside the trial record in the joint submission, but ultimately did not seek to include such references. MSN did not state that it would be separately moving for the Court to take judicial notice of those documents.

In any event, the trial record is closed, and Exelixis disagrees that there is a basis for reopening the record at this stage. Exelixis does not believe that the definitions of “bulk density” or “tapped density” are material to the case. To the extent that MSN disagrees, however, MSN should have placed these definitions in the record during trial. Exelixis therefore opposes MSN’s request.

The Honorable Richard G. Andrews

October 7, 2024

Page 2

Respectfully,

*/s/ Anthony D. Raucci*

Anthony D. Raucci (#5948)

cc: All Counsel of Record (via CM/ECF and e-mail)